



**“LUCIAN BLAGA”
UNIVERSITY OF SIBIU
LAW FACULTY “SIMION BĂRNUȚIU”
DOCTORAL STUDIES DEPARTMENT**



**DOCTORAL THESIS
THEORETICAL AND PRACTICAL ANALYSIS OF THE INSOLVENCY
PROCEDURE IN THE ROMANIAN LAW**

Abstract

SCIENTIFIC COORDINATOR,
Prof. Univ. dr. dr.h.c **IOAN LEȘ**

PhD candidate,
GHEORGHE FLOROI

SIBIU 2014

TABLE OF CONTENTS

CHAPTER I

PHASIS OF INSOLVENCY PROCEDURE	8
1.1. General considerations	8
1.2. General aspects of the insolvency procedure	24
1.3. Bankruptcy and insolvency procedure	31
1.4. The Romanian law system concerning the insolvency procedure	58

CHAPTER II

INSOLVENCY PROCEDURE: CHARACTERS, OBJECT, PRINCIPLES AND PARTICIPANTS	63
2.1. Terminology. Notions and definitions	63
2.2. Features of the insolvency procedure	68
2.2.1. Judicial character.....	69
2.2.2. Collective character.....	69
2.2.3. Competitive character	69
2.2.4. Unitary and general characters.....	70
2.2.5. Egalitarian, transparent and predictable characters	72
2.2.6. Remedy character and foreclosure procedure.....	74
2.3. Domain and object of insolvency procedure.....	78
2.3.1. Domain.....	78
2.3.2. Object of the insolvency procedure	83
2.3.3. Comparative law.	84
2.4. Aim and principles of the insolvency procedure.....	84
2.4.1. Aim of the insolvency procedure	84
2.4.2. Principles of the insolvency procedure.....	85
2.5. Expenses of administration and judgement.....	91

2.6.	Succession of law in time	92
2.7.	Participants at the insolvency procedure	93
2.7.1.	General considerations	93
2.7.2.	Trial courts	96
2.7.3.	Insolvency practitioner.....	113
2.7.4.	Acquiring the status of insolvency practitioner	114
2.7.5.	Trustee.....	121
2.7.6.	Judiciary liquidator	139
2.7.7.	Creditors' assembly.....	143
2.7.8.	Creditors' committee.....	146
2.7.9.	Special administrator.....	147
CHAPTER III		
PROCEDURES OF INSOLVENCY PREVENTION.....		150
3.1.	Preliminary specifications	150
3.2.	National law frame for the prevention of enterprises termination payments	156
3.3.	Principles of loyal behaviour of the creditors in relation to the debtor in imminent insolvency	158
3.4.	Extrajudicial restructuring of commercial companies obligations	160
3.5.	Differences between formal procedures of insolvency prevention and voluntary negotiations of extrajudiciary restructuring	161
3.6.	Parties and principles in voluntary negotiations.....	162
3.7.	Conformity of the debtor's proposals with the creditors' legal prerogatives	165
3.8.	Concept of "enterprise in financial difficulty"	166
3.9.	Common disposal of ad-hoc mandate and prevention concordat	169

3.10. Procedure of ad-hoc mandate	171
3.11. Procedure of the prevention concordat	174
3.11.1. General considerations	174
3.11.2. Opening of the prevention concordat procedure.....	179
3.11.3. Appointment of concordat trustee.....	181
3.11.4. List, table and/or creditors' chart	182
3.11.5. Closure and prevention concordat approval	182
3.11.6. Closure of prevention concordat procedure	186
3.11.7. Observations.....	187
3.11.8. Judicial practice.....	187
 CHAPTER IV	
GENERAL PROCEDURE OF INSOLVENCY	189
4.1. Correlations with other normative acts of the insolvency procedure. The code of civil procedure	189
4.1.1. General comments.....	189
4.1.2. Research of the process.....	200
4.1.3. Debate on the merits	202
4.1.4. The appeal	204
4.2. Opening of the insolvency procedure	204
4.3. Appointment of the insolvency practitioner.....	209
4.4. Suspension of the judicial, extrajudicial activities or measures of enforcement and terms of prescription	211
4.5. Suspension of interest flow, increase, or penalties of any kind and interdiction of additions of expenses	216
4.6. Mentioning concerning the procedure in the debtor's correspondence	218
4.7. Interdiction of the operations done by the debtor	219

4.8. Compensation of mutual debts	220
4.9. Report of the judicial administrator concerning the entrance in the simplified procedure or continuation of the observation period in the general procedure	221
4.10. Report of the insolvency practitioner concerning the causes of insolvency	222
4.11. Establishment of the passive mass	224
4.12. Writing in the advertisement registers	226
4.13. Situation of some official documents of the debtor	227
4.13.1. Preliminary specifications.....	227
4.13.2. Cancelling of fraudulent acts	228
4.14. Comparative law. Main effects of opening the procedure.....	234
4.14.1. France.....	235
4.14.2. Austria.....	237
4.14.3. Italy	238
4.14.4. Sweden	240
4.15. Conclusions	241
CHAPTER V	
JUDICIARY REORGANISATION. THE PLAN.....	243
5.1. Definition of judiciary reorganisation and its aim	243
5.2. Reorganisation plan.....	246
5.2.1. Introductory considerations	246
5.2.2. Persons who can propose the organisation plan	249
5.2.3. Contents of the plan	253
5.2.4. The payment programme of the debts	254

5.2.5. Voting and confirmation of the plan	257
5.2.6. Effects of the confirmation of the plan	260
5.3. Period of reorganisation	261
5.4. Comparative law.....	265
3.2.1 Germany	265
5.4.1. France	271
5.5. Conclusions	275
CHAPTER VI	
BANKRUPTCY.....	279
6.1. Preliminary specifications	279
6.2. Opening of the bankruptcy procedure.....	282
6.2.1. Simplified procedure after the observation period	282
6.2.2. Simplified procedure with observation period.....	284
6.2.3. General procedure unfollowed by the confirmation of an organisation plan.....	286
6.2.4. Conversion of a reorganisation procedure in bankruptcy.....	287
6.3. Effects and consecutive measures	289
6.4. Establishment of pasive mass in bankruptcy	293
6.4.1. Preparation of the additional table of debts in bankruptcy – general procedure unfollowed by a reorganisation plan	294
6.4.2. Preparation of the consolidated final table of the debts in bankruptcy - general procedure unfollowed by the confirmation of a reorganisation plan	297
6.4.3. Ways of establishing the passive mass in the case of conversion of the reorganisation in bankruptcy	297
6.5. Preliminary steps before liquidation	298
6.6. Liquidation of the debtor’s fortune	300

6.7. Procedure of distribution of the acquired sums as a consequence of liquidation	303
6.8. Final report of liquidation and final distribution	309
CHAPTER VII	
PATRIMONIAL LIABILITY OF THE PERSONS WHO CAUSED THE INSOLVENCY	311
7.1. General considerations. Specific provisions	311
7.2. Involving the patrimonial liability of the persons who provoked the debtor's insolvency	316
7.2.1. General considerations	316
7.2.2. Coexistence of the civil delinquent liability with criminal liability ...	318
7.2.3. Liable persons	322
7.2.4. Comparative law	325
6.1.1 Showing the facts by which liability is attracted	327
7.2.5. Judiciary nature of persons' liability who caused the debtor's insolvency by their deeds	347
7.2.6. Conditions of liability	349
7.2.7. Stating of the facts	349
7.2.8. Damage	350
7.2.9. Committing the facts provided by the law	350
7.2.10. Causal links between fact and state of insolvency	351
7.2.11. Guiltiness of the person whose liability is involved	351
7.2.12. Limits of liability	352
7.2.13. Prescription	353
7.2.14. Comparative law	354
7.3. Procedure of involving the liability	355

7.3.1. The holder of the claim for the involvement of liability	356
7.3.2. Investment of the bankruptcy judge.....	358
CHAPTER VIII	
CLOSURE OF THE INSOLVENCY PROCEDURE.....	364
8.1. Closure of the procedure according to LPPI_I.....	364
8.2. Jurisprudence.....	367
8.2.1. Constitutional Court	367
8.2.2. Decisions of the High Court of Cassation and Justice.....	373
Conclusions	375
Proposals of de lege ferenda	382
SELECTIVE BIBLIOGRAPHY	
Treaties, monographies	384
II	
Articles, communications, laws	392

DOCTORAL THESIS
THEORETICAL AND PRACTICAL ANALYSIS OF THE INSOLVENCY
PROCEDURE IN THE ROMANIAN LAW
(doctoral thesis_ Abstract)

Key words: insolvency, bankruptcy, insolvency judge, court, court of appeal, practitioner, UNPIR chart, trustee, liquidator, creditors' assembly, creditor, debtor, special administrator, ad-hoc mandate, prevention concordat, organisation plan, passive mass, status

Actuality of the investigated theme

The interhuman relationships have been regulated by juridical norms since time immemorial.

The sanctioning provision for the infringed relationship aimed strictly at the person and not at their possessions, irrespective of the fact that they referred to a non patrimonial right or material possessions. Thus, by *The retaliation Law*, it was intended the punishment of the person and not the recovery of the damage of the prejudiced person. In that period of formation of society/state, the interpersonal aspect of relationships was priority.

In the Roman law, during *Legis actiones*, the creditor had the right of retaining the debtor, of selling him as a slave, or even the right of life or death over him.

In the pretorian law, the regime of obligations was changed, generally speaking, in the sense that the recovery of debts could be achieved also by executing the debtor's goods.

By applying the formulated procedures, the creditors could obtain the debtor's asset, that was exercised in their interest. After this the necessary publicity followed in order to let know all the creditors that the goods would be sold. The procedure was a real collective procedure of the creditors in order to recover the debts from the debtor. The sale of the debtor's goods used to attract infamy upon him. The debtor's goods were the general pledge of the creditors. The debtor used to abandon his goods in favour of the creditor in order to get rid of prison.

During the Republic, the foreclosure was accomplished by the state authorities "manu militari", that put on sale the goods from the debtor's patrimony.

During the Middle Ages, the trade was flourishing, it was a moment when "missio in possessionem" and "venditio bonorum" were taken over and developed from the Roman law. In that period, it was proceeded to the collective and egalitarian organisation of the creditors against the debtor found in cessation of payments. There was a distinction between the debtor of good faith and bad faith.

The trade activity was accompanied by inherent risks, that led to the cessation of payments. In such situations, juridical institutions appeared such as the **concordat**, through which the honest debtor could manage his activities, the creditors approving the reduction of the amount of debts and the **moratorium**, which permitted the suspension of any prosecution of the debtor, in an interval of time.

Justice was involved in the completion of the creditors' action having as purpose the liquidation of the debtor's patrimony in case of bankruptcy.

The development of capitalist economy on basis of request and offer had as consequence the development of the trade, with the capital as promotor, which led to the development of bankruptcy law. The bankruptcy procedure was based on recovering the creditors' debts with efficiency and celerity, pursuing the

sanctioning of the persons under the patrimonial aspect and not personally, excepting the fact of accomplishing criminal facts by the debtors (fraudulent bankruptcy).

The professional problems appeared in solving the causes in the procedures of insolvency prevention and insolvency are generated by:

- the jump from the antique procedure of bankruptcy to insolvency;
- the economic and competitiveness increase;
- prevention, resolution or alleviating the financial and economic crises;
- accomplishment of the debtors' liability and creditors' insurance in the crediting extension;
- activities of enterprises which have more often transboundary effects and these effects are regulated more and more by the community or/and international law.

The thorough knowledge of law in insolvency helps to identify the adequate procedures for the treatment of natural or/and legal persons that are in difficulty /cessation of payments.

Aim and objectives of the thesis

The purpose of the paper is to treat the applicable procedures in this domain such as the ad-hoc mandate, the preventive concordat, the enterprise safeguarding, the debtor's reorganisation, the general procedure, the special procedure, the simplified procedure. Within the frame of the procedure of insolvency the following will be discussed: the domain of application and its object; the aim and its principles; the participants at the procedure; compatibility of the norms of the Code of civil procedure with the specific of the insolvency procedure; procedure of

judiciary reorganisation by respecting a plan; the actual procedure of bankruptcy; the plan of distribution among creditors, prophylactic measures at the creditors' disposal, procedure of establishing the liability of the governing body – judiciary practice in this domain, closure of the procedure. These stages – procedures will be analysed through the requirements of the Romanian law as well as comparative law.

The law of privatization in Romania has undergone many modifications, and among these Law 64/1994, applicable from 28.08.1995 concerning the procedure of reorganisation and judiciary liquidation has been considered the first major reform of the procedure of the traders' insolvency. The modifications brought to the law no. 64/1995, through Law no. 99/1999 led from liquidation to bankruptcy, from the trustee bankruptcy judge to the magistrate bankruptcy judge, and the trustee acts in the procedure as a representative of the justice.

The report of the European Commission concerning the progress registered by Romania along 2004, in the process of adherence comprises the following conclusion: *"The Romanian law system does not provide adequate and efficient mechanisms for the getting out of the market of the economic agents in cessation of payments"*. This conclusion was determined by seeing the complexity of the existing procedure, the nonuniform application of the law in this domain, the reduced protection the creditors benefit from.

Consequently, starting from the communitary acquis in this domain, the Government completed the law taking into account also:

the Regulation of the Council no. 1346/2000, concerning the insolvency procedure;

The Directive 2002/74 for the modification of the Directive 80/97/EEC concerning the protection of the employees in case of insolvency initiated Law

86/2006 with the subsequent modifications and completions. As an effect, the secondary laws had to be modified too: Law 31_1990 concerning the chapter with voluntary liquidation and Law 26_1990, concerning the chapter with registerings.

The Directive DALEC 2001/24/CE inspired the issue of the Government Ordinance no. 10/2004 concerning the procedure of judiciary reorganisation and the bankruptcy of credit institutions.

Both in the case of the other traders and the credit institutions, the phenomenon of insolvency must be primarily approached under the aspect of prevention and only in case that these measures/means do not give satisfaction to analyse the treatment called „sanitation”.

The judicial argument refers to the fact that the law in the respect of insolvency procedure must observe the European law. It is noticed that Regulation no. 1346/2000 of the European Council imposes to the member states, deliberately, the extension of the insolvency procedures to the natural persons too.

Thus, point 9 from the Regulation EC NO 1346/2000 shows that ”The present regulation should be applied to the insolvency procedures, irrespective of the fact that the debtor is a natural or a legal person, a trader or a private person.”

The commission of United Nations for International Commercial Law prepared in 1997 the model of law UNCIRAL, without compulsory character, whose aims are the solving of the problems of cooperation and coordination of concurrency procedures, of recognition of a foreign decision of international judicial assistance in the problems of bankruptcy. In the domestic law, this law was incorporated in a Guide, that served as a source of inspiration for law no.637/2002 concerning the regulation of the relations in private international law in the domain of insolvency.

Insolvency has a preventive role, or depending on the case, an extinctive one concerning the financial or economic crises, role that is manifested concretely by the prevention of the appearance or removal of elements of insolvency, insolvency that interrupts the process of crediting-enterprise-profit.

On the globe, actually, the vast majority of the regulations in this domain are grouped in categories of law, comprises both norms of procedure order and of substantial law referring to the effects of insolvency.

These laws are more or less similar from one system to another. They aim both at the debtor's recovery and the liquidation of his fortune, the possibility of attracting the civil/penal liability of the statutory organs of the debtor for causing the state of insolvency for certain facts, having as an effect the supporting of a part from his passive by this one.

In this period of crisis, the word insolvency received particular visibility, an almost privileged status in everyday language.

Considering the situation and reasons presented above, it is absolutely natural that the entire activity of insolvency should be comprised in an insolvency code.

Romania's government, taking into consideration that the actual economic context imposes taking rapid measures for the creation of the law and administrative premises that lead to the growth of economic operators' efficiency, and at the safety of the economic circuit and the investment attractiveness of the Romanian market, emits the emergency Ordinance no. 91/2013 from 2nd October 2013 concerning the procedures of prevention insolvency and of insolvency. Subsequently, it was adopted the Law concerning the procedures of prevention of insolvency and insolvency (acronym LPPI_I) no.85/25.06.2014. LPPI_I has an integrating vision, including in a single normative corpus the general law,

applicable to all the economic operators, the special law, incident to the institutions of credit companies of insurance/re-insurance, regulation of the insolvency of groups of companies, regulation of transboundary insolvency. In the new law, are also regulated the instruments for prevention of insolvency/preinsolvency.

Besides the objective of codification, the project of the new law has two main elements, which constitute also the main objectives of the law intervention: assurance of the balance for creditors' interests and debtor's in the procedure and making efficient the procedure, that should implicitly lead to the reduction of the procedure duration and the increase of the degree of recovery of the credits.

It is introduced the idea of granting of a term of payment for the creditor's debt triggering - until the remaining in pronouncement over the request of opening the procedure formulated by the creditor.

In order to improve the chances of reorganising in the advantage both of the debtor and creditor, it is proposed to introduce a super-priority for the fundings granted during the procedure, inclusively in the period of observation.

These fundings will be guaranteed, mainly, by affecting some goods and rights that do not form the object of some preferential causes, and in subsidiary, if such goods or available rights do not exist, with the creditors' agreement who are beneficiary of the respective causes of preference. In the hypothesis in which the agreement of these creditors will not be obtained, the priority at restitution present in this paragraph will diminish the regime of satiety of the creditors beneficiary of the causes of preference, proportionally by reporting to the entire value of the goods or rights that form the object of these causes of preference.

In order to avoid the approval of some plans of organisation upheld by a small number of creditors, a possible situation in the old regulation by „manipulating” the voting groups could be voted, a plan without correspondent in

the value of debts, it is introduced now an additional criterium for the approval of the plan, respectively 30% from the statement of affairs.

In order to achieve the second objective, making the procedure efficient, we signal only a few of the provisions of the Code.

The incidental actions (merits and appeal) are judged in conformity with the provisions of the new Code of civil procedure with regard to the judgement in the first instance, with the mentioning that the term for filing the answer is of maximum 10 days from the communication, the answer to the communication is not compulsory and the insolvency judge establishes, by resolution, in a term of maximum 3 days from the date of filing the answer, the first term of judgment, which will be of at most 30 days from the date of the resolution.

The term of continuance of procedure is replaced with an administrative term of control, which will permit to the insolvency judge to manage more efficiently the insolvency file, not being necessary to organise public meetings of judgement if there are no requests in the litigation or nonlitigation procedure, but making possible to give tasks in the charge of the trustee/judiciary liquidator.

It is possible for the insolvency judge to unblock certain situations, when the creditors are not active in the procedure. Thus, if a decision cannot be taken in the creditors' assembly or committee, the trustee/judiciary liquidator can notify the court so that they can take a decision.

It is expressly shown the obligation of the insolvency judge of taking a decision over the viability of the reorganisation plan, proposed by the debtor or creditors and approved by the creditors' assembly.

It is proposed to make efficient the creditors' assembly activity, its meetings taking place as often as necessary (not necessarily monthly, like in the actual law), and the vote can be expressed by any means that assure the

transmission of the text and the confirmation of its receipt, thus it is not compulsory anymore to be physically present.

It is eliminated the possibility of contesting the creditors' committee decisions at the creditors' assembly, taking into account that the decision given like this by the assembly can be contested at the insolvency judge.

Express provisions are introduced concerning the treatment of the leasing agreements and the debts coming from the leasing agreements.

In order to assure the creditors' protection and the procedure transparency, the sale of the assets will be achieved according to a sale regulation, approved by the creditors.

The paper with the theme on the insolvency procedure also offers the possibility of identification of certain law flaws or the existence of some inadvertences, generating diverse interpretations in the application of judicial norms and consequently the formulation of some proposals *de lege ferenda*.

Also, in this paper, can be identified how much the domestic regulations are compatible with those in the international normative acts at which Romania is part.

Eventually, the paper contributes at the intimation of nonunitarian aspects in the practice of judgement instances for the solutioning of different stages referring to insolvency and the grounding of some relevant proposals in this respect.

Methodology of scientific research

In order to achieve the objectives presented above many methods specific

to the juridic research were approached such as: the historic method, the logic method, the comparative method and quantitative methods.

The doctoral thesis is structured in 8 chapters and 306 footnotes.

The *first chapter* discusses the interhuman relationships that have been regulated since time immemorial by judicial norms.

The sanctioning disposition for the infringed relationship aimed at the person and or his goods. Thus, by means of *The retaliation law* the aim was to punish the person and not to recover the damage of the prejudiced person and nowadays there exists the Law of procedures of insolvency prevention and insolvency, case in which is pursued the prevention of insolvency, reorganisation, recovery of the prejudice of the damaged person by the debtor and the laws that regulate this domain.

In the *second chapter*, the features, principles, domain, object, aim and participants at the insolvency procedure in the Romanian law system and comparative law were analysed.

In the *third chapter*, the procedures of prevention insolvency were described both in voluntary extrajudiciary negotiations and in judiciary formal ones. The ad-hoc mandate procedures were also approached as well as the procedure of the preventive concordat, inclusively judiciary practice.

The *fourth chapter* comprises the general procedure of insolvency, where are analysed the opening of the procedure of insolvency, its effects and the competent instances in cause. The effects of opening the procedure of insolvency in France, Austria, Italy and Sweden are also described.

The phase of the procedure of reorganisation by plan is approached in the *fifth chapter* of the doctoral thesis, both in the domestic law in force as well as in France and Germany.

The *Sixth chapter* is consacrated to the stage of the debtor's bankruptcy. The opening of the bankruptcy procedure is analysed as well as the types of bankruptcy, its effects and consecutive measures, the liquidation of the debtor's fortune, the final report of liquidation and the final distribution.

In the *Seventh chapter* is analysed the patrimony liability of the persons who caused/contributed to the state of insolvency of the debtor. The facts, conditions, guiltiness, prejudice and limits for which can be involved the patrimony liability of the persons that contributed to the state of insolvency are approached. The procedure of attraction the liability is also presented by indicating to the holders the request of investment of the insolvency judge.

The *Last chapter* of the doctoral thesis is reserved to the closing of the insolvency procedure. All the hypotheses connected to the closing procedure are analysed and its effects too. From the jurisprudence are presented in synthesis some decisions of the Constitutional Court of Romania and the High Court of Cassation and Justice in Romania.

The research ends with a series of conclusions, legislative proposals of de lege ferenda, selective bibliography, articles, communications and laws.

The doctoral thesis is the result of an experience lived by the author through practice (to notice the causes adminstered by the author and quoted in the footnotes, that sustained the conclusions concerning the legal provisions) done before writing it, in which my aim was to approach the procedures concerning the insolvency prevention and insolvency, so that in the actual economic context it should have as a consequence the growth of:

- economic operators' efficiency
- safety of the economic circuit

- efficiency of the procedure, in the plan of duration and degree of coverage of the debtor's passive
- number of companies that succeed in the prevention of insolvency
- number of companies in insolvency that succeed in avoiding becoming bankrupt by the procedure of judicial reorganisation
- harmonization of the laws that regulate the domain of insolvency prevention and insolvency
- the investment attractiveness of the Romanian market

SELECTIVE BIBLIOGRAPHY

I

TREATIES, MONOGRAPHS

Adam, Ioan, Savu, Nicolae Codruț, Legea insolvenței, Comentarii și explicații, Editura C.H.Beck, București, 2006.

Avram, Aurică, Procedura insolvenței: partea generală, București, Editura Hamangiu, 2008.

Bârsan C., Eftimie, M. - Convenția europeană a drepturilor omului, Editura Hamangiu, București, 2006.

Bârsan Corneliu, Stătescu Constantin, Drept civil, Teoria Obligațiilor, Ediția a IX-a revizuită și adăugită, Editura Hamangiu, 2008

Beleiu, Gheorghe ș.a., Drept civil român, Introducere. Subiectele dreptului civil, ed.X-a, Editura Universul juridic, București 2005.

Boroi, Gabriel, Răducan, Gabriela, Stancu, Mirela, Noul cod de procedură civilă, Editura Hamangiu, octombrie 2014.

Boroi Gabriel, ș.a., Noul cod de procedură civilă-comentariu pe articole, vol.I și II, Editura Hamandiu, Martie 2013

Bufan, Radu - Legal și comercial în procedura insolvenței comerciale, în R.R.D.C. nr.5/2004.

Buta, A. și Moțiu F., - Executarea hotărârii de antrenare a răspunderii membrilor organelor de conducere sau de supraveghere a debitorului aflat în insolvență în situația existenței creanțelor fiscale, citat de N. Țândăreanu, op.cit. p. 439.

Cărpenaru, St. D., Stanciu, D.- Drept comercial român, Editura All Back, București, 1998.

Cărpenaru, St. D. , Nemeș, V., Hotca, M. A., Noua lege a insolvenței – Legea nr. 85/2006. Comentarii pe articole, Ed. Hamangiu, 2006.

Cărpenaru, D., Stanciu, Predoiu, Marian Catalin, Piperea Gheorghe, David Sorin, "Legea societăților comerciale. Comentariu pe articole", Ediția a 4-a, Editura C. H. Beck, 2009, capitolele referitoare la dizolvare și lichidare (art.227-237 și art.252-270 din Legea societăților comerciale).

Cărpenaru, D. Stanciu , „Tratat de drept comercial român. Conform noului Cod civil”, Editura Universul Juridic, 2012.

Ciobanu Viorel Mihai, Nicolae Marian, colectiv, Tratat de drept procesual civil , vol Iși II, Editura Universul Juridic, 2014.

Clift, Jenny, Gross Claudia, UNCITRAL’S work in promoting sound insolvency regimes în Law transition online 2007, Making an insolvency system work, European Bank for Reconstruction and Development, October 2007, Londra.

CODICE del FALIMENTO e delle altre procedure concursuali II edizione, Maggioli Editore, finito di stampare nel mese di aprile 2014, dalla Litografia Titanlito S.p.A, Dogana (Republica di San Marino).

Costin, N. Mircea, A. Miff, Falimentul. Evoluție și actualitate, Ed. Lumina Lex, București, 2000.

Cyril, Nourissat, Droit communautaire des affaires, Editions Dalloy, 2003, Paris.

Cornut, Charles - Crise et droit. European Banking and Financial Law Journal, no. 2/2007-2008, p 11-13.

Deleanu Ion, Mitea Valentin, Deleanu Sergiu, *Tratat de procedura civilă*, vol. I,II,III, Editura Universul Juridic, 2013

Finch, V. *Corporate Insolvency Law. Perspectives and Principles*, Cambridge University Press, 2002

Fiñescu I.N., - *Curs de drept comercial*, vol. III, București, 1930.

Geamănu, Radu-Gheorghe, *Clauza de hardship în contractele comerciale internaționale*, Editura Hamangiu, 2008.

Giorgio, Cherubini, Neil Cooper, Daniel Fritz, Emmanuelle Inacio, Katarzyna Ingielewicz, Guy Lofalk, Miriam Mailly, David Marks Q.C., Anna Maria Pukszto, Barbara F.H. Rumora Scheltema, Robert Van Galen, Miguel Virgos, Bob Wessels, Nora Wouters (INSOL EUROPE),

Asociația profesională europeană a specialiștilor în restructurare și insolvență
[http://www.europarl.europa.eu/studies/Armonizarea legislației privind insolvența la nivelul Uniunii Europene.](http://www.europarl.europa.eu/studies/Armonizarea_legislației_privind_insolvența_la_nivelul_Uniunii_Europene)

Grosaru, Mircea - *Judecătorul-sindic*, Editura Universul juridic, București, 2013.

Guyon, Y, *Droit des affaires, Tome 1, Droit comercial general et Sociétés*, 9e edition, Economica, Paris, 1996.

Idot, L., *Un nouveau droit communautaire des procedures collectives: Le reglement (CE) no.1346/2000 du 29 mai 2000*, Commentaires Dalloz, 2000.

Ilaria Queirolo, *Le procedure d'insolvenza nella disciplina comunitaria, Modelli di riferimento e dritto interno*, G. Giappichlli Editore, Torino, 2007.

Jugastru, Călina,& Ungureanu, Ovidiu, *Drept civil, persoanele*, Ediția a II-a, revăzută, Editura Hamangiu, București, 2007.

Jugastru, Călina, Ungureanu, Ovidiu, Circă Adrian, Manual de drept internațional privat, Editura Hamangiu, București, 2007.

Jugastru, Călina, Ungureanu, Ovidiu, Drept civil. Persoanele, Ediția a 2-a, revăzută, Editura Hamangiu, București, 2009.

Jugastru, Călina, Prejudiciul - repere românești în context european, Editura Hamangiu, București, 2013.

Joseph E. Stiglitz, Bankruptcy Law ; Basic Economic Principles, p. 13-14 în Stijn Claesens, Simeon Djankov, Ashoka Modz, Resolution of Financial Distress, An international Perspective on the Design of Bankruptcy Laws, WBI Development Studies, World Bank, International bank for Reconstruction and Development, 2001.

Klaus V. pannen(ed.), European Insolvency Regulation, De Gruyter Recht, Berlin , 2007.

Loussouarn, Y., Bourel, P.,Droit international privé Editions Dalloz, 2001, Pentru detalii referitoare la conflict de sisteme juridice.

Leș, Ioan, Principii și Instituții de Drept procesual civil, Ed. Lumina Lex, București, 3 volume, 1998 - 1999;

Leș, Ioan, Sancțiunile procedurale în materie civilă, Ed. Lumina Lex, București, 1997;

Leș, Ioan, Organizarea sistemului judiciar, a avocaturii și a activității notariale, Ed. Lumina Lex, București, 1997;

Leș, Ioan, Proceduri civile speciale, Ed. All Beck, București, 2000;

Leș, Ioan, Tratat de drept procesual civil, Ed. All Beck, București, 2001;

Leș, Ioan, Comentariile Codului de procedură civilă(Ed. All Beck, 2 volume, București, 2001);

Leș, Ioan, Sisteme judiciare comparate, Ed. All Beck, București, 2002;

Leș, Ioan, Proceduri civile speciale, ediția a II-a, Ed. All Beck, București, 2003;

Leș, Ioan, Organizarea sistemului judiciar românesc, (Ed. All Beck, București, 2004);

Leș, Ioan, Organizarea sistemului judiciar în dreptul comparat, Ed. All Beck, București, 2005;

Leș, Ioan, Codul de procedură civilă. Comentariu pe articole, (Ed. C.H. Beck, București, 2007);

Leș, Ioan, Legislația executării silite. Comentarii și explicații, Ed. C.H. Beck, București, 2007;

Leș, Ioan, Instituții juridice contemporane, Ed. C.H. Beck, București, 2007;

Leș, Ioan, Tratat de drept procesual civil, (ediția 4, Ed. C.H. Beck, București, 2008);

Leș, Ioan, Tratat de drept procesual civil, ediția 5, cu referire la Proiectul Codului de procedură civilă, Editura C.H. Beck, București 2010;

Leș, Ioan, Noul cod de procedură civilă, comentariu pe articole, Editura C.H. Beck, 2013.

Leș Ioan, Jugastru Călina, Lozneau Verginel, Circă Adrian, Hurubă Eugen, Spinei Sebastian, Tratat de drept procesual civil vol.II., Editura Universul Juridic, 2014.

Lozneau Verginel, Vlad Barbu, Rolul agenților forței publice în executarea silită, în Volumul Conferinței Internaționale ”Instituții ale procesului civil și ale executării silite” Universitatea ” Petru Maior” & UNEJ Târgu Mureș, UNEJ Republica Moldova și Universitatea de Stat ” Alecu Russo” din Bălți, 29-31 august 2013, Editura Universul Juridic, 2013, p.65.

Michel, B., International Bankruptcy Law in Scandinavia, The International and Comparative Law Quarterly, Vol. 34, No.1, January 1985.

Milos, M Simona, Comparația între Chapter 11 și reorganizarea judiciară potrivit legii române, revista Phoenix nr. 28-29 /2009 .

Munteanu S., art. Citat, Phoenix, revistă de insolvență, editată de Uniunea Națională a Practicienilor în Insolvență, nr.32, aprilie-iunie 2010.

Munteanu, Viorica, Răspunderea civilă și penală a practicianului în insolvență, Revista de insolvență "Phoenix" , nr. 28-29 aprilie-septembrie 2009.

Muir, Hunter, Foreword, page III, in Anthonz R. Houghton, Neil H. Cooper, European Insolvency Guide, Touche Ross International, London, 1984.

Murzea, Cristinel, Poenaru, Emil, Drept civil, Editura Dacia Europa Nova, Lugoj, 2000;

Murzea, Cristinel, Drept roman, Editura Romprint Brașov, 2003;

Murzea, Cristinel, Drept roman, Ediția a II-a, București: Editura ALL BECK,2003,

Murzea Cristinel, Poenaru Emil, Reprezentarea în dreptul privat, Editura C.H. Beck, București, 2007.

Murzea, Cristinel, Mureșan L., Drept civil. Partea generală. Editura Universității „ Transilvania” Brașov, 2010.

Nasy, Cs. B., Caracterele juridice ale procedurii insolvenței, C.A., Timișoara, www.unpirjvl.ro.

Pașcanu, M., Dreptul falimentar român, Ed. Cugetarea, București, 1926.

Perochon, F. și Bohnomme, R., în Entreprise en difficulte, Instruments de credit et de paiement, 5e edition, L.G.D.J., Paris, 2001.

Piperea, Gheorghe, "Insolvența: legea, regulile, realitatea", Editura Wolters Kluwer, 2008 ;

- Piperea, Gheorghe „Legea concordatului”, Editura Wolters Kluwer, 2010.
- Piperea, Gheorghe, Procedura de salvagardare a întreprinderilor aflate în dificultate, Phoenix, revistă de insolvență, Editată de Uniunea Națională a Practicienilor în Insolvență, nr.32, aprilie-iunie 2010.
- Piperea, Gheorghe, Drept comercial: întreprinderea în reglementarea Noului Cod Civil, București, editura C.H.Beck, 2012.
- Pop, Liviu, Popa, Ionuț Florin, Vidu, Stelian Ioan, Tratat Elementar de drept civil: obligațiile, Ed Universul Juridic, București 2012.
- Potow, A.E J., greed and Pride in international Bankruptcy: the Problems of and proposed Solutions to local interests, Michigan Law Review, Arbor: aug 2006, Vol.104, iss.8, p.1899-1949.
- Prescure, Titus & Savu, Codru'-Nicolae, Drept Interna'ional privat, București, Editura Lumina Lex, 2005.
- Remy, Jean Pierre, La Faillite international, press Universitaires de France, 1966, Paris.
- Ripert, V.G., Roblot, R., Traité de droit commercial, Tom I, Volume 2, Editions L.G.D.J., 2001.
- Schiau, Ioan., Regimul juridic al insolvenței comerciale, Editura All Beck, București, 2001.
- Severin, Adrian, Elemente fundamentale de drept al comerțului internațional, Editura Lumina Lex, București, 2004.
- Sorescu, Laurențiu, Insolvența bancară în dreptul comerțului internațional, - București: Universul juridic, 2010.
- Soriano Migel Virgos, Francisco J. Garciamartin, Commentario al Regulamento Europeo de Insolvencia, Thomson Civitas, 2003 Madrid.

Stanislao de Matteis, Nicola Graziano, Manuale del Concordato Preventivo, Maggioli editore, Copyright 2013, by Maggioli S.P.A.

Stănescu, Arin Octav - coord, UNPIR, Procedura insolvenței: culegere de practică judiciară 2006-2009, București: editura C.H. Beck, 2001 3vol.

Tarșia, Andreea Corina, reorganizarea persoanei juridice de drept privat, Editura Hamangiu, București 2012, București.

Trăilescu Anton, Drept administrativ, Ediția a IV-a, Editura C.H. Beck, martie 2010.

Trăilescu Anton, Legea contenciosului administrativ, comentarii și explicații, ediția a II-a , Editura C.h.Beck, 2014.

Turcu, Ion, Falimentul-noua procedură- Tratat, Ed. Lumina lex, București, 2003.

Turcu, Ion, Tratat de insolvență, Editura C.H. Beck, București 2006.

Turcu Ion, Legea procedurii insolvenței, Comentariu pe articole, ediția a 3-a , Editura C.H. Beck, București, 2009.

Turcu, Ion, Noul Cod civil. Legea nr. 287/2009. Cartea a V-a. Despre obligații (art. 1164-1649) – Comentarii și explicații, ediția 1, ed. C.H. Beck, an 2011

Turcu, Ion, ”Legea procedurii insolvenței. Comentariu pe articole”, Ediția a 4-a, Editura C.H. Beck, 2012

Țândăreanu, Nicoleta - Insolvența în reglementarea Legii nr. 85/2006, București: Universul Juridic, 2012.

Ungureanu, D. E., *Regimul juridic al falimentului în Dreptul comerțului internațional* (teză de doctorat), Academia de Studii Economice, București, 2004, sursa: **www.biblioteca.ase.ro**;

Vallens Jean Luc, Le guide legislatif de la CNUDCI sut le droit de l'insolvabilité, Recueil Dalloz, 23 septembrie 2004.

Vallens Jean Luc, Vers un droit materiel europeen en matiere de faillite?, Petites affiches de la loi, no.248/2003.

Wessels Bob, Current Topics of International Insolvency Law, Editura Kluwer BC, deventer, 2004.

Woodland, V. Philippe, The proposed European Community Insolvency Convention, in Current Issues in E. Bruce Leonard. Christopher W. Besant, Cross-Border Insolvency and Reorganisations, Graham& Trotman/ Dordrecht/ Boston, 1994.

Wolf Annika, Succes and Failere in Cross-Border Insolvency Proceedings of Multinational Corporations- Parmalat- A European and American Perspective, www.iiiiglobal.org/prize/2006/060707wolf.pdf.

II

ARTICLES, COMMUNICATIONS, LAWS

Leș Ioan, STUDII. Drept privat. Mijloace procedurale destinate a remedia sau reforma greșelile savârșite în cursul executarii silite, Revista – Acta Universitatis nr. 21-2/2001.

Geamănu Radu-Gheorghe, STUDII. Variantele si instrumentele juridice de realizare a transferului de tehnologie, Revista –Acta Universitatis nr. 21-2/2001.

Floroi Gheorghe, Insolvența persoanelor fizice, Conferința Națională a doctoranzilor în drept „Reforma statului de drept în lumina noilor coduri” prima ediție, Facultatea de Drept „Simion Bărnuțiu” – Universitatea „Lucian Blaga” din Sibiu, 2011, articol publicat în volumul conferinței, de către Editura Universității „Lucian Blaga” din Sibiu, 2011, p. 47-54.

Floroi Gheorghe, Participanții la procedura insolvenței, Conferința Internațională a doctoranzilor în drept „Studii și cercetări juridice europene”, Ediția a 4-a, Facultatea de Drept și Științe Administrative - Universitatea de Vest din Timișoara 2012, articol publicat în volumul conferinței, de către Editura Universul Juridic, București 2012, p.107-118.

Floroi Gheorghe, Procedura insolvenței aspecte generale, caractere juridice, obiect, principii, Conferința Internațională a doctoranzilor în drept, Ediția a 4-a, Facultatea de Drept și Științe Administrative - Universitatea de Vest din Timișoara 2012, articol publicat în volumul conferinței, de către Editura Universul Juridic, București, 2012, p. 119-130.

Floroi Gheorghe, Deschiderea procedurii insolvenței, drept comparat, jurisprudență, Conferința Internațională „Reformele judiciare și administrative la începutul mileniului al treilea, Facultatea de Drept „Simion Bărnuțiu” – Universitatea „Lucian Blaga” din Sibiu, 2012, articol publicat în volumul conferinței, de către Editura Universității „Lucian Blaga” din Sibiu, 2012.

Floroi Gheorghe, Practicianul în insolvență-statut, ipostaze, drept comparat, jurisprudență, Conferința Internațională „Reformele judiciare și administrative la începutul mileniului al treilea, Facultatea de Drept „Simion Bărnuțiu” – Universitatea „Lucian Blaga” din Sibiu, 2012, articol publicat în volumul conferinței, de către Editura Universității „Lucian Blaga” din Sibiu, 2012.

Floroi Gheorghe, Antrenarea răspunderii patrimoniale a persoanelor care au cauzat insolvența debitorului, Congresul Internațional „ Reformele legislative, judiciare și administrative în lumea contemporană, secțiunea doctoranzilor, masteranzilor și studenților, Facultatea de Drept „ Simion Bărnuțiu” – Universitatea „ Lucian Blaga” din Sibiu, 2012, articol publicat de către Editura Universității „Lucian Blaga” din Sibiu, 2013.

Floroi Gheorghe, Efecte ale deschiderii procedurii insolvenței. Primele măsuri, Conferința Internațională „ Instituții ale procesului civil și ale executării silite”, Facultatea de Științe Economice Juridice și Administrative - Universitatea „Petru Maior”, Camera Executorilor Judecătorești, din Târgu Mureș, Facultatea de Drept- Universitatea de Stat” Alecu Russo din Bălți , Uniunea Națională a Executorilor Judecătorești , Republica Moldova, lucrare publicată în volumul conferinței, de către Editura Universul Juridic București 2013, p. 225-237.

Floroi Gheorghe, Reorganizarea judiciară a debitorului. Planul, Conferința Internațională „ Instituții ale procesului civil și ale executării silite”, Facultatea de Științe Economice Juridice și Administrative - Universitatea „Petru Maior”, Camera Executorilor Judecătorești, din Târgu Mureș, Facultatea de Drept- Universitatea de Stat” Alecu Russo din Bălți , Uniunea Națională a Executorilor Judecătorești , Republica Moldova, lucrare publicată în volumul conferinței, de către Editura Universul Juridic București 2013, p. 238-254.

Leș, Ioan, Competența materială a instanțelor judecătorești în reglementarea noului Cod de procedură civilă, Conferința Națională organizată la Facultatea de Drept și Științe Administrative - Universitatea de Vest din Timișoara, 2011.

Leș Ioan, Competența execuțională în noul Cod de procedură civilă, publicat în Revista română de executare silită nr. 4 din 2012

Murzea Cristinel, Gheorghe C.A., O nouă lege bancară într-un cadru legislativ modern , Buletinul Științific al Universității „Dimitrie Cantemir” Brașov, Nr.5/2004, Editura Infomarket.

Murzea Cristinel, Savu C., Tratatul ca izvor de obligații internaționale, Sesiunea de comunicări Științifice „ zilele dreptului, publicat în volumul de Studii socio-juridice, Editura Romprint, Brașov , 2003.

Murzea Cristinel, Savu C. , Câteva considerații privind procedura falimentului bancar, Editura Romprint, Brașov 2003, Sesiunea de comunicări științifice „Zilele dreptului”, publicat în volumul de Studii socio- juridice

Piper, Laurin & Brazier, John, Insolvencynews for INSOLVENCY TODAY MAGAZINE, 40 return for creditors of Lehman Brothers, 16 September 2013, insolvencynews.com publicat de Athens Publishing Limited, registered in England @ Wales as 5481132, Axe@ Bottle Court, 70 Newcomen St. London, SE1 1YT.

Turcu, Ion, Pleoarie pentru o necesară înnoire a conceptului de insolvență” în revista de insolvență Phoenix, nr. 32 din ianuarie-martie 2011, editată de Uniunea Națională a Practicienilor în Insolvență din România.

Țândăreanu, Nicoleta, Obligația sau facultatea lichidatorului de a pune în executare hotărârea de antrenarea răspunderii administratorilor debitorului în faliment, Revista Română de Drept nr. 2/2010.

Legea nr. 85/2006 privind procedura insolvenței, publicată în Monitorul Oficial, Partea I nr. 359 din 21/04/2006, modificată și completată expres inclusiv prin Legea nr. 177/2010 și implicit prin noul Cod civil și Legea nr. 71/2011;

Legea nr. 85/2006 privind procedura insolvenței, cu modificările și completările ulterioare, la care ne referim în cele ce urmează sunt introduse prin Titlul IV – “Modificarea unor legi speciale” – al Legii nr. 76/2012 de punere în

aplicare a Legii nr. 134/2010 privind Codul de procedură civilă, cu punere în aplicare de la 15 februarie 2013

Legea nr. 85/2006, publicată în M.Of. nr. 359 din data de 21 aprilie 2006, completată și modificată inclusiv cu O.U.G nr.4/2013 și Lega nr. 214-2013, publicată în M.Of. Partea a I-a nr. 388 din 28 iunie 2013.

Legea nr. 381/2009, privind introducerea concordatului preventiv și mandatului ad-hoc, publicată în Monitorul Oficial, Partea I nr. 870 din 14/12/2009

Legea nr. 31/1990 privind societățile comerciale, republicată în Monitorul Oficial, Partea I nr. 1066 din 17/11/2004, modificată și completată inclusiv prin O.U.G. nr. 2/2012: art. 227-2371, art. 252-2702;

O.U.G. nr. 86/2006 privind organizarea activității practicienilor în insolvență, republicată în Monitorul Oficial, Partea I nr. 724 din 13/10/2011;

Legea nr. 222 din 21.11.2012, pentru modificarea și completarea Ordonanței de Urgență a Guvernului nr. 86/2006 privind organizarea activității practicienilor în insolvență, publicată în M.Of. nr. 796 din data de 27 noiembrie 2012.

Legea nr. 637/2002 publicată în M.Of.nr. 931din 19 decembrie 2002, modificată prin O.U.G. nr. 119/2006 privind unele măsuri necesare pentru aplicarea unor regulamente comunitare de la data aderării României la Uniunea Europeană (M.Of. nr. 1036 din 28 noiembrie 2006), aprobată prin legea nr. 191/2007 (M.Of, nr. 425 din 26 iunie 2007).

Legea nr. 503/2004 publicată în M.Of. nr.1193 din 14 decembrie 2004 modificată prin Legea nr. 71/201, pentru punerea în aplicare a Legii nr. 287/2009 privind Codul civil (M.Of. nr. 409 din 10 iunie 2011); legea nr. 76/2012 pentru punerea în aplicare a legii nr. 134/2010 privind Codul de procedură civilă (M.Of. nr.365 din 30 mai 2012); O.U.G. nr. 4/3013 privind modificarea Legii nr. 76/2012

și pentru modificarea și completarea unor acte normative conexe. (M.Of. nr. 68 din 31 ianuarie 2013.

O.U.G. nr. 99/2006 privind instituțiile de credit și adecvarea capitalului, armonizată cu legislația prin transpunerea Directivei nr. 2001/24/CE a Parlamentului European și a Consiliului privind reorganizarea și lichidarea instituțiilor de credit.

O.U.G. nr. 17/2000, publicată în Monitorul Oficial al României, Partea I, nr. 113 din 15 martie 2000, a fost abrogată prin art. 40 al Legii nr. 345/2002 privind taxa pe valoare adăugată, publicată în Monitorul Oficial al României, Partea I, nr. 371 din 1 iunie 2002, act normativ abrogat și el, la rândul său, prin art. 298 din Legea nr. 571/2003 privind Codul fiscal, publicat în Monitorul Oficial al României, Partea I, nr. 927 din 23 decembrie 2003

Codul de procedură civilă, modificat și completat inclusiv prin Legea nr. 60/2012: art. 3711, 5805

Newsletter Juridic din data de 03.09.2013, Ministerul Justiției inițiază lucrările de elaborare a Codului insolvenței, demers pentru care primește asistența tehnică din partea consorțiului format din PriceWaterhouseCoopers Business Recovery Services SPRL, D&B David și Baias SCA și RVA Insolvency Specialists S.P.R.L., din România

Regulamentul Consiliului (CE) nr. 603/ 2005 din 12.03.2005 de modificare a listelor cu procedurile de insolvență, de lichidare și a lichidatorilor din anexele A,B și C la regulamentul (CE) nr. 1346/2000 cu privire la procedura insolvenței, publicat în JOUE L 100, 20.04.2005 și Regulamentul Consiliului(CE) nr. 694/ 2006 din 27.04.2006 de modificare a listelor cu privire la procedura insolvenței, lichidare și lichidatorii din anexele A,B și C la Regulamentul (CE) nr. 1346/2000 cu privire la procedura insolvenței, publicat în Jurnalul Oficial L 121/ 06.05.2006

Bufford, S.L., Centre of Main Interests, International Insolvency Case Venue, and Equality of Arms: Eurofood Decision of the European Court of Justice, Northwestern Journal of International Law & Business; Winter 2007; 27, no.2.

Central European Bank, center for Financial Studies, Research Network on Capitals Markets and Financial Integration in Europe- Results and Experience after two Years, December 2004, European Central Bank, Frankfurt am Main, 2004.

Loi n° 85-98 du 25 janvier 1985 relative au redressement et à la liquidation judiciaires des entreprises Version consolidée au 01 janvier 2006 ; Version consolidée au 01 janvier 2006 ; Loi n° 94-475 du 10 juin 1994 relative à la prévention et au traitement des difficultés des entreprises [Ftp://www.entreprisesdifficiles.com/redressement-judiciaire](http://www.entreprisesdifficiles.com/redressement-judiciaire). Efecte ale deschiderii procedurii de redresare judiciară a debitorului.

Insolvenzordnung vom 5. Oktober 1994 (BGBl. I S. 2866), die durch Artikel 1 des Gesetzes vom 15. Juli 2013 (BGBl. I S. 2379) geändert worden ist". De la 1 martie 2013, Codul de Insolvență german (InsO) a fost îmbunătățit cu Legea pentru Facilități Suplimentare pentru Restructurarea Întreprinderilor (ESUG).

Das Gesetz zur weiteren Erleichterung der Sanierung von Unternehmen(ESUG) vom 07.12.2011 ist am 13.12.2011 im Bundesgesetzblatt verkündet worden (vgl. BGBl. 2011, Teil 1 Nr. 64, S. 2582, pdf-Datei, hinterlegt beim Bundesanzeiger Verlag). Die Artikel 4 und 5 dieses Gesetzes treten am 01.01.2013 in Kraft. Die Artikel 7 und 8 treten am 01.01.2013 in Kraft. Im Übrigen ist das Gesetz am 01.03.2012 in Kraft getreten.

Legea privind procedurile de prevenire a insolvenței și de insolvență (PL-x nr. 90/2014_ notată prescurtat LPPI_I), adoptată de Camera Deputaților în ședința din data de 15.04. 2014, în calitate de cameră decizională. LPPI_I a fost trimisă spre promulgare la Președintele României în data de 24.04.2014. Partidul Național

Liberal prin 83 de deputați a formulat și a depus la Secretariatul General al Camerei Deputaților sub nr.2/22.04.2014, Sesizarea la Curtea Constituțională cu privire la neconstituționalitatea LPPI_I. Președintele Curtea Constituțională a României prin Președintele acesteia a solicitat Camerei Deputaților, cu adresa nr. 1702/23.04.2014 să depună punct de vedere, până la data de 15 mai 2014 privitor la Sesizarea în cauză, Dosarul nr. 369A/2014/CCR cu termen de judecată în data de 21 mai 2014. S-a respins excepția de neconstituționalitate;

Proposal for a REGULATION OF THE EUROPEAN PARLIAMENT AND OF THE COUNCIL amending Council Regulation (EC) No 1346/2000 on insolvency proceedings/* COM/2012/0744 final - 2012/0360 (COD) */Dates ▼
Date of document: 12/12/2012; Date of dispatch: 13/12/2012; Forwarded to the Council Date of dispatch: 13/12/2012; Forwarded to the Parliament Document 52012PC0744.